PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

arXiv:1407.3715v1 [nucl-ex] 14 Jul 2014

Measurement of the Transverse Single-Spin Asymmetries for π^0 and Jet-like Events at Forward Rapidities at STAR in $p+p$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 500 GeV

Mriganka Mouli Mondal (for the STAR Collaboration)
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA
E-mail: mriganka@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov

Large transverse single-spin asymmetries (A_N) have been observed for forward inclusive hadron production in $p+p$ collisions at various experiments. In the collinear perturbative scattering picture, twist-3 multi-parton correlations can give rise to such an asymmetry. A transversely polarized quark can also give rise to a spin-dependent distribution of its hadron fragments via the higher twist effects or the Collins fragmentation function. The observed A_N may involve contributions from both processes. These can be disentangled by studying asymmetries for jets, direct photons and jet-fragments.

The STAR Forward Meson Spectrometer (FMS), a Pb-glass electromagnetic calorimeter covering the pseudo-rapidity (η) range 2.6-4.2 and full azimuth, can detect photons, neutral pions and eta mesons. We are measuring A_N for π^0 and jet-like events reconstructed from photons in the FMS in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 500 GeV that were recorded during the 2011 RHIC run. We study A_N as a function of the number of observed photons in the FMS, thereby exploring asymmetries for a range of event classes. We further study A_N for forward jets and its dependency on forward-midrapidity jet correlation. The current status of the analysis will be discussed.

XXII. International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects
Warsaw, 28 April - 2 May 2014

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike Licence.

Contents

1. Introduction **2**

2. Neutral Electromagnetic Jets and Analysis Procedure **2**

3. Results **4**

4. Conclusion **6**

1. Introduction

Transverse single-spin asymmetries (TSSAs), $A_N = \frac{\sigma^\uparrow - \sigma^\downarrow}{\sigma^\uparrow + \sigma^\downarrow}$, play an important role in understanding the QCD structure of the nucleon. Surprisingly large TSSAs were observed at forward rapidity for inclusive pions ($p^\uparrow p \to \pi X$) in the 1970s and 1980s ([1] and references therein). The large asymmetries seen in fixed-target experiments persist up to the center-of-mass energies available at RHIC [2]. There are two theoretical frameworks in QCD to explain the challenging large TSSAs. The first one relies on the transverse-momentum dependent (TMD) parton distributions for a transversely polarized proton and spin depdent fragmentation known as Collins fragmentation function. The Sivers function correlates a parton's transverse momentum to the proton's spin, with large TSSAs as an outcome with the additional effect of Collins fragmentation [3] . The other theoretical framework introduces the spin-dependent twist-three quark-gluon correlations (Efremov-Teryaev-Qiu-Sterman (ETQS) mechanism) [4] and twist-3 fragmentation functions [5]. The TMD mechanism is relevant for two-scale (p_T and Q) processes with $p_T \ll Q$, whereas the twist-3 approach is appropriate for single-scale processes with p_T providing the hard scale. There should be an interesting overlapping region, $\Lambda_{QCD} \ll p_T \ll Q$, where both the theoretical pictures are valid. For the observables like inclusive π^0 and jets in p+p collisions at RHIC energies ($\sqrt{s}$ = 200 GeV or 500 GeV), the measurements involve one scale in high p_T, indicating that the twist-3 approach is the more relevant description. An interesting feature in the latter description is still to observe the expectation of $1/p_T$ falling behavior of TSSAs at high p_T.

2. Neutral Electromagnetic Jets and Analysis Procedure

The Forward Meson Spectrometer (FMS) is a lead-glass electromagnetic calorimeter in the STAR detector [6] at RHIC consisting of an array of smaller cells (3.8×3.8 cm^2) embedded in an array of larger cells (5.8×5.8 cm^2). Sitting in the very forward region, with pseudo-rapidity coverage $2.6 < \eta < 4.0$ and full azimuthal acceptance, the FMS can detect neutral particles like π^0, η mesons, and γ. The large acceptance allows one to identify electromagnetic jets (EM-Jets) in this important kinematic region where the asymmetries for inclusive hadron production are known to



(a)



(b)

(a) 2γ invariant mass distributions for EM-Jets containing two photons. π^0Jets are defined with $m_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.3$ GeV/c^2.
(b) Energy flow for forward jets within the jet cone for EM-Jets containing different numbers of photons.

Figure 1

be large. The STAR central calorimetric system consists of the Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) and Endcap Electromagnetic Calorimeter (EEMC) covering the pseudo-rapidity $-1.0 < \eta < 1.0$ and $1.1 < \eta < 2.0$, respectively. By reconstructing EM-Jets in the forward and mid-rapidity calorimeters, we can study the dependence of the forward EM-Jet asymmetry on a central EM-Jet coincidence. The study of the A_N's in the jet framework in addition to the earlier studies [7] is expected to give deeper insight into the origin of the asymmetries related to the event topology.

This analysis uses STAR data for p + p collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 500 GeV recorded in 2011 (Run-11) with 22 pb^{-1} of integrated luminosity and average blue beam (facing the FMS) transverse polarization of 52 $\pm$ 2 %. The trigger is provided by the FMS itself (based on the energy deposited in either a cluster of towers or a jet patch), with a threshold to have significant sensitivity to jets and π^0's at high x_F ($= 2 \times p_z/\sqrt{s}$). The trigger from fast detectors limited our analysis not to include tracks from central slow detectors like Time Projection Chambers (TPC) . Neighboring towers in the FMS are grouped into clusters, and then the clusters are fit with characteristic electromagnetic shower shape distributions to reconstruct either one or two photons per cluster. The reconstructed photons come in large



Figure 2: $\Delta\phi$ correlations between the forward and central EM-Jets for $N_{photons} <= 2$ (left) and for $N_{photons} > 2$ (right).

fraction from decays of π^0 and η and with a small fraction from electrons and direct-γ. A small fraction of the FMS photons also arise from mis-identified hadronic showers in the FMS.

The anti-k_T jet clustering algorithm is used with $R = 0.7$ to find jets separately in both the forward (FMS photons) and central (EMC+BEMC towers) rapidity regions, with $p_T^{EM-jets} > 2.0$ GeV/c and pseudo-rapidity $2.8 < \eta^{EM-Jet} < 4.0$ and $-1.0 < \eta^{EM-Jet} < 2.0$, respectively. Only forward EM-jets with $40 < E^{EM-Jet} < 100$ GeV are considered, which corresponds the Feynman-x range $0.16 < x_F < 0.4$. Only one EM-jet each from the forward and central regions is chosen for an event, the highest-energy EM-Jet in the forward region and highest-p_T EM-Jet from mid-rapidity.

The trigger is preferentially sensitive to the neutral energy in the forward jets, so the reconstructed forward jets are biased toward those with large neutral energy fraction. The number of photons for the forward jets lies in the range 1-15 with a most probable value 2. A large fraction of the 1-photon jets arise when the second π^0-decay photon lies outside FMS acceptance or the photon reconstruction mis-identifies a 2-photon cluster as containing only a single γ. Figure 1b shows the events with more than two photons demonstrate jet-like energy flow, where $\Delta R_{jet,photons}$ is the distance in $\eta - \phi$ space between the jet axis and the corresponding photons. An interesting case for our analysis is the 2-photon case. The invariant mass distribution for 2-photon EM-jets in Fig. 1a shows a clear π^0 mass peak. When the two photons come from a π^0 decay, they are close together in an otherwise empty jet cone. We define 2-photon EM-Jets having $m_{\gamma\gamma} < 0.3$ and energy sharing $z_{\gamma\gamma} = \frac{|E_1 - E_2|}{E_1 + E_2} < 0.8$ as isolated-π^0, or simply "π^0-Jets". STAR has previously observed that A_N is larger for isolated-π^0 than for π^0 with additional nearby photons [7]. The $\Delta\phi$ correlations between the forward and central EM-Jets in Fig. 2 show a clear away-side peak which grows stronger with increasing forward EM-Jet energies and also with increasing number of photons in the forward EM-Jets.



Figure 3: A_N for EM-jets vs. energy for isolated π^0, 2-photon EM-jets that fall outside π^0-mass definition, and for EM-jets containing $N_{photons}$>2.

The ϕ distribution of events for each RHIC fill is fitted with the function

$$\frac{N\uparrow(\phi) - N\downarrow(\phi)}{N\uparrow(\phi) + N\downarrow(\phi)} = p_0 + P \times A_N \cos(\phi). \tag{2.1}$$

The values from the L.H.S of Eq. 2.1 are extracted for each of twelve equally divided ϕ-bins. P is the measured beam polarization for the fill. p_0 represents the relative luminosity between spin-up and spin-down collisions for the fill. The A_N fit results are then averaged over the RHIC fills to obtain the values reported here.

3. Results

Figure 3 shows π^0-Jets have large transverse single-spin asymmetries that increase with en-



Figure 4: A_N vs. p_T for EM-jets, for $x_F > 0$ (closed circles) and $x_F < 0$ (open circles). The three columns correspond to the energy bins 40-60, 60-80, 80-100 GeV. The five rows indicate different number of photons in the EM-jets from 1 (top) to 5 (bottom).

ergy. The A_N for π^0-Jets in Fig. 3 is comparable to that previously reported by STAR for π^0 that are isolated within cones of 30($\Delta\eta \approx 0.4$) or 70($\Delta\eta \approx 0.7$) mR [7]. The asymmetries for EM-jets with more than 2 photons are much smaller over the entire energy range. The 2-photon events that do not come from π^0 are expected to arise from η decays and continuum background. They have non-zero asymmetries, but much smaller than for π^0-Jets. The systematic errors in Fig. 3 are calculated by varying the event cuts and η acceptance.

Figure 4 shows the p_T^{EM-Jet} dependence of A_N for $x_F > 0$ and $x_F < 0$. The results are shown for three energy bins, and separated according to the number of photons in the EM-jet. A_N for 1-photon events, which include a large π^0 contribution in this analysis, is similar to A_N for 2-photon events. Three-photon, jet-like events have a clear non-zero asymmetry, but substantially smaller than for isolated π^0's. A_N is quite small for EM-jets with 4 or 5 photons. A_N for EM-jets with 6 or more photons (not shown) is very similar to that for 5 photons. It is clear that the asymmetries decrease as the complexity, *i.e.*, the number of photons in the event (or the "jetiness"), increases. The systematic errors shown here are calculated from the probability to misidentify the event category, which has been estimated using PYTHIA+GEANT simulations.

Figure 5 shows A_N for EM-jets separated according to whether or not a coincident away-side EM-jet is seen at mid-rapidity, where the away side is defined as $\pi/2 < \Delta\phi < 3/2\pi$. The results are shown for three different event classes and three EM-jet energy bins. For the isolated-π^0 case,



Figure 5: A_N vs. p_T^{EM-Jet} for EM-jets when there is a coincident away-side EM-jet at mid-rapidity (blue), and when no coincident away-side EM-jet is seen at mid-rapidity (red). The three columns show energy bins 40-60, 60-80 and 80-100 GeV. The three rows show three different event classes: isolated π^0, 3-photon EM-jets, and EM-jets with $N_{photons} > 3$.

the asymmetries are smaller when there is a correlated away-side jet. The systematic errors for non-central EM-jets are estimated from the probability to miss a central EM-jet because of finite detector efficiency.

4. Conclusion

For the first time, TSSAs are studied for EM-jets in the forward region in $p+p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV. We reconfirm that isolated π^0's have large A_N. There is a clear non-zero A_N for 3-photon jet-like events, but it is significantly smaller than that for isolated π^0's. A_N then decreases further as the jettiness of the event increases. Furthermore, we find that A_N for isolated π^0's is smaller when they have a coincident away-side EM-jet at mid-rapidity than when no coincident EM-jet is seen. These results raise serious questions regarding how much of the large forward π^0 A_N arises from hard 2→2 parton scatterings.

References

[1] D.L. Adams *et al.* [E581 and E704 Collab.], Phys. Lett. **B261**, 201 (1991); D.L. Adams *et al.* [FNAL-E704 Collab.], Phys. Lett. **B264**, 462 (1991); K. Krueger *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B459**, 412 (1999).

[2] J. Adams *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 171801 (2004)

[3] D.W. Sivers, Phys. Rev. **D41**, 83 (1990); Phys. Rev. **D43**, 261 (1991), M. Anselmino, E. Murgia, Phys. Lett. **B442**, 470 (1998); U. D'Alesio, E. Murgia, Phys. Rev. **D70**, 074009 (2004); M. Anselmino *et al.*,Phys. Rev. **D74**,094011(2006).

[4] E.V. Efremov, O.V. Teryaev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **36**, 140 (1982); Phys. Lett. **B150**, 383 (1985), J.W. Qiu, G. Sterman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 2264 (1991); Nucl. Phys. **B378**, 52 (1992); Phys. Rev. **D59**, 014004 (1999), Y. Kanazawa, Y. Koike, Phys. Lett. **B478**, 121 (2000); Phys. Rev. **D64**,034019 (2001).

[5] Z. Kang, F. Yuan, J. Zhou, Phys. Lett. **b691** 243(2010).

[6] K. Ackermann *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Nucl. Inst. & Meth. **A499**, 624 (2003).

[7] S. Heppelmann (STAR Collaboration), in *Proceedings of the XXI International Workshop on Deep-Inelastic Scattering and Related Subjects (DIS 2013)* (2013).